

EXHIBIT B

Deposition of:

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION
4

5 IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)
6 ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
7) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
8 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
9 ALL ACTIONS.)
10 _____
11

12 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM CAMPBELL
13 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14 February 5, 2013
15

16 Reported by: Anne Torreano, CSR No. 10520
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Deposition of:

Page 29

1 Intuit, and she said okay.

2 Q. And can you place that either in time or
3 describe the circumstances surrounding that event?

4 A. I -- there were some instances of Google
5 recruiters calling Intuit employees. I was quite 10:07:07
6 embarrassed by that with my own company, that I was
7 there in such an intimate position helping Google and
8 Google was recruiting -- cold-calling our employees.
9 So I asked them if they would stop doing that.

10 Q. Prior to that time, did you know of any other 10:07:30
11 companies that had such arrangements in the Valley?

12 A. Yes. I mean, yes theoretically. I can't tell
13 you exactly what, but I -- you know, the idea of saying
14 somebody's on somebody else's board and we don't
15 recruit from that board member's companies, you know, 10:07:56
16 I've heard that a lot, but, I mean, I can't give you
17 that specifically.

18 But it seemed like that was a practice that
19 was being honored just out of respect for the board
20 member's time. 10:08:14

21 So that's what I did.

22 Q. Okay. Aside from the situation where there
23 were interlocking board relationships, did you know of
24 any other --

25 A. I did not, no. 10:08:30

Deposition of:

Page 30

1 Q. When you asked Shona Brown not to recruit or
2 not to allow Google to recruit from Intuit, is it your
3 understanding that that was --

4 A. That isn't what I said.

5 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. 10:08:48

6 A. I want to make sure you're clear and it's
7 clear on that.

8 I asked her to not cold-call using outside
9 recruiters to cold-call the company. And, you know,
10 it's a different situation, very, very different. The 10:08:58
11 cold-calling is what I was objecting to.

12 Q. Okay. And what is cold-calling?

13 A. Just, you know, having outside recruiters,
14 contract recruiters or even in your own internal
15 recruiters just randomly call names that came up on 10:09:11
16 sheets somewhere. I don't know where they would get
17 their names but, you know, go down a list, you know, if
18 they find a list of employees somewhere, and went A
19 through Z and called everybody that was a mid-level
20 engineer and above, just to see if they would -- if 10:09:25
21 they could entice them to come for an interview. And
22 that was what I objected to.

23 Q. All right. Was it your understanding that
24 having made that request at the -- your understanding
25 was reciprocal, that Intuit would not do that to Google 10:09:38

Deposition of:

Page 31

1 if Google agreed not to do it to Intuit?

2 A. No.

3 Q. So it was your understanding that Intuit was
4 free to do what you just described you didn't want
5 Google doing; is that right?

10:09:49

6 A. The chances of -- you know, with the science
7 factor that is so high at Google, there was literally
8 no chance that Intuit was going to be able to take, you
9 know -- the overlap was not -- was mostly one way.

10 In other words, we might have some people that
11 interested them, but we really weren't going to be able
12 to get people into our company when we had, you know,
13 more traditional applications and not science stuff.

10:10:10

14 Q. I'm not understanding this.

15 If you had people within Intuit that Google
16 might be interested in, why would not Google have
17 people that Intuit would be interested in?

10:10:27

18 MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Object. Argumentative.

19 THE WITNESS: You know, the -- the -- Intuit
20 is an old-style, traditional company that does
21 programming. Some of it is -- we were pretty fast
22 coming to the web, you know, using the cloud, et
23 cetera, but Google is -- hires mostly computer science
24 people.

10:10:43

25 And, you know, there might be a chance that we

10:11:14

Deposition of:

Page 187

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2 I, Anne Torreano, Certified Shorthand Reporter
3 licensed in the State of California, License No. 10520,
4 hereby certify that the deponent was by me first duly
5 sworn, and the foregoing testimony was reported by me
6 and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided
7 transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,
8 and true record of said proceedings.

9 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
10 attorney for either or any of the parties in the
11 foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way
12 interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.

13 The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of
14 the original transcript will render the reporter's
15 certificates null and void.

16 In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name
17 this 8th day of February, 2013.

18
19 [] Reading and Signing was requested.

20 [] Reading and Signing was waived.

21 [X] Reading and Signing was not requested.
22
23

24 _____
ANNE M. TORREANO, CSR No. 10520
25